

# Uses of Lotem for Indonesian hydrocarbon applications

R. Aftartu<sup>1</sup>, and K. Strack<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Sucofindo, Jakarta*

<sup>2</sup> *KMS Technologies, USA*

\*corresponding authors email : [Kurt@kmstechnologies.com](mailto:Kurt@kmstechnologies.com) & [riskygeophys@gmail.com](mailto:riskygeophys@gmail.com)

**Abstract.** While magnetotellurics has been used extensively in Indonesia, the percentage of good quality data is limited due to the high population density and often the geologic condition. We investigate application of controlled source electromagnetics (CSEM) in the time domain, sometimes also known as long-offset transient electromagnetics (Lotem) because it overcomes the noise issue by using a high-power transmitter. Among many applications for Indonesia we have selected sub-basalt where we can demonstrate the benefit of the technology with successful Lotem case histories of the past and illustrate its new use with 3D modeling. Either diffuse reflection of the seismic wave or high seismic velocities hinder it. EM sees transparently through them. Targets are resistive (hydrocarbon) and conductive (sediments). We are illustrating the success of Lotem with results from Europe and India for similar situations including joint inversion with magnetotellurics.

## INTRODUCTION

Indonesia has used electromagnetics historically for its mining and geothermal industries. For geothermal mostly magnetotellurics is being used. For hydrocarbon, only offshore CSEM is used. After the commercial success of marine electromagnetics [1, 2], the interest in land electromagnetics was revived. Over the past 15 years, we have developed a complete new generation of electromagnetic hardware that can be used for land, marine and borehole applications. Key in making surface measurements successful is the integration and calibration with borehole data. Since Indonesia's geology has many application opportunities for CSEM, we have selected one of the bigger ones: sub-basalt mapping of prospective sediments.

While magnetotellurics is a passive EM method-using signal coming from currents in the ionosphere and atmosphere, CSEM uses man made signals generated by a grounded dipole transmitter on the Earth's surface. The responses this signals generate in the subsurface are recorded. Details of the CSEM technique used, namely the Lotem method are described by Strack [3]. Lotem today, unlike described by Strack [3], is defined as TEM method with grounded dipole when the offset is equal to or larger than the target depth [4].

## SUB-BASALT CASE HISTORY

The sub-basalt case history from India developed over a period of over 25 years. First a project was proposed and a feasibility carried out in 1986. The fieldwork was done in 1989 with subsequent interpretation over the next 2 years. In 1999, a well was drilled and another 3 years the loops were closed and the material was reconciled and finally partially published as synopsis in 2007 [5]. Figure 1 shows the survey map around the deep electrical sounding profile shown at the top left of the figure. The deep electrical sounding results were not very reliable due to the long spacing and the low power equipment plus interpretation used pre-1985. However, it did show that there are conductors, most likely sediments under the basalts. This was used as input to the Lotem forward modeling shown in Figure 2. The curves in the figure are early and late time apparent resistivities. Two parameters, the thickness of the Deccan trap basalts and the thickness of the Mesozoic sediments are of interest and varied. The variations show clear responses in the curves and based on them the survey was designed.

The system layout is shown in Figure 3. Most of the receiver sites were broadside. The source was about 1 km long with 400 A current being injected into the ground. Figure 3 also shows the current waveform and the magnetic field receiver waveform plus pictures of the transmitter and the receiver. The contour lines in the figure indicate the

induction current move out. The survey was carried out in 1989 and for 2 months about 360 surface locations were recorded.




FIGURE 1. Location map of the sub-basalt survey in India. The work was carried out on the Saurashtra Peninsula shown in the center. The red profile indicates the location of the deep electrical sounding carried out by ONGC of India before.





FIGURE 2. Pre-survey feasibility results. The curves are early and late time apparent resistivities for model variations due to changes in basalt thickness (left) and sediment thickness (right).


Normally, vertical magnetic field and two horizontal electrical field components were recorded and inverted without specific bias and sections as shown in Figure 4 resulted. The figure shows on the left side the data displayed as apparent resistivity curves with 95% confidence interval (shading visible at later times). On its right we see the section compilations. Most of them were relatively stable and clearly one-dimensional. Profile R1 (2<sup>nd</sup> profile on the right) shows a dyke in the profile, which we verified with extensive 3D modeling. All the various models confirmed that the dyke was underneath the receiver. Given that Lotem has two primes areas of sensitivity (namely under the transmitter and under the receiver) this questions needs to be answered in every individual case. The final test was to take the 3D synthetic data and invert it with one-dimensional inversion to see where the information content is spatially derived from. The results of this exercise are shown in Figure 5.

Figure 5 shows the individual and joint inversions of the electric and magnetic fields for the model resistivities of the 3 layers and total thickness. In the bottom center of the figure we also have the model and survey plan for the synthetic data. The individual inversions do not show the dyke structure but the joint one allows a hint. The total thickness inversion clearly outlines the dyke in the electric field as does the total conductance [5]. Based on these results the well location was picked around thickest sediment package below the basalt and a well was drilled

(Rajkot 1). The log interpretation is shown in Figure 6. The sediments as predicted from the Lotem measurements were confirmed within 90-95% accuracy.



**FIGURE 3.** Typical survey layout of transmitter and receiver. Equipment sample pictures for the India survey; current wave form and receiver data are also shown.



**FIGURE 4.** Sample processed data for the India survey (left side) and inversion results for several sample sections.

After these results, more wells were drilled in the area penetrating the Deccan traps and more recently significant oil reservoirs were found in the Kutch. Electromagnetics is now part of every exploration program in India.

## CONCLUSIONS

Controlled Source Electromagnetic methods are routinely applied for geothermal exploration and only to a more limited extend for land hydrocarbon exploration. In Indonesia, they are important as MT has often problems with noise. Indonesia also has many EM specific challenges such as sub-basalt imaging or mapping within carbonates.

A case history from India shows what we can expect for Indonesian conditions. In the Indian case the results were successfully confirmed by drilling and the methodology is now part of the routine exploration workflow. This makes this method a prime methodology for Indonesia.




FIGURE 5. Compiled inversion results for 1D inversion of 3D model synthetic data simulating the dyke structure in Figure. 4.




FIGURE 6. Compiled well log response for the Rajkot well drilled on the Lotem results. (After Strack and Pandey, 2007)

## REFERENCES

1. Eidesmo, T., S. Ellingsrud, L.M. MacGregor, S. Constable, M.C. Sinha, S. Johansen, F.N. Kong, and H. Westerdahl, *Sea Bed Logging (SBL), a new method for remote and direct identification of hydrocarbon filled layers in deep water areas*, First Break, 20, 144-152 (2002).
2. Constable, S. *Ten years of marine CSEM for hydrocarbon exploration*, Geophysics, 75, (2010).
3. Strack, K.M., *Exploration with Deep Transient Electromagnetics*, (Elsevier 1992) (copyright released version available on [www.kmstechnologies.com](http://www.kmstechnologies.com))
4. Sheriff, R.E., *Encyclopedic dictionary of applied geophysics*, 4th ed. (Society of Exploration Geophysicists, Tulsa, 2002).
5. Strack, K.M., and P.B. Pandey, *Exploration with controlled source electromagnetics under basalt cover in India*, The Leading Edge, 26, 360-363 (2007).